DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management
BRAC Division
Seneca Army Depot, Seneca, NY

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

05 May 2016

SUBJECT: Environmental Liabilities for site SEAD-025, Fire Training Area at Seneca Army Depot

- 1. Background: This memorandum serves as formal documentation of the information used to develop the Cost-To-Complete (CTC) estimate for Site SEAD 025. Estimators experience is documented on the Estimator Experience Form, per the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) Handbook Technical Release 2 (Enclosure 1). The Remedial Action Cost Engineering and Requirements (RACER) 11.2 system was used to estimate the cost of well abandonment and site close out and is updated with the 2015 escalation factor per 29 March 2016 Data Call Memorandum for Ground Water sampling FY16. Site Closeout and well decommissioning is expected to take place in FY 21 when GW testing is expected to be terminated. The LUC monitoring cost and the five-year review requirements are based upon contract number W912DS-09-D-0062 Task Order 0023 (enclosed). Perfluorinated Compounds(PFCs) will be sample for in existing wells as emerging contaminants; the cost estimate is included in SEAD 009 due to the special sampling is combined with other sites as one SI.
- 2. Site History: SEAD-25, Fire Training Area is located in the east-central portion of SEAD covering roughly 7.6 acres and was in use from the late-1960s to the late-1980s. During the 1980s the pad was used for firefighting demonstrations. Results of the 1998 RI indicated the site soil had been impacted by VOCs and SVOCs due to past fire training activities. The presence of VOCs in the soil is considered to be the source of GW impacts that will be mitigated through the removal of the soil. The 2003 ROD (Encl 2) required the removal of impacted soil, monitored natural attenuation of the GW contamination and institute LUCs. The removal of soils was completed in 2005. GW monitoring and LUCs began in May 2007. The GW monitoring was expected to last ten years as identified in the ROD, or through 2016. The concentrations have decreased but have not yet met standards. The five year review has not yet been submitted; EPA has not agreed with discontinuing the groundwater monitoring as of April 18, 2016.
- 3. Current Condition: SEAD 25 is in RA(O) phase where GW is being sampled annually and Natural Attenuation of the contamination is being monitored. Benzene and Ethyl Benzene concentrations remain above the groundwater standards. 99% reduction has occurred. Perfluorinated Compounds are to be analyzed in groundwater as an emerging contaminant

Environmental Liabilities for site SEAD-025, Fire Training Area at Seneca Army Depot

IAW DODI, Emerging Contaminants, certified current through 11 June 2016. The costs for perfluorinated compounds analysis is included in SEAD 009 to avoid duplication of costs for work plans and the UFP-QAPP.

4. Exit Strategy: Upon demonstration that GW has met the established cleanup goal, GW sampling will be eliminated and LUC restriction will be eliminated. Monitoring is expected to end in 2016 the Annual Report will document the end of monitoring. EPA will review this status in the Five Year Review Report, to be submitted FY16. Groundwater monitoring can be discontinued only with EPA concurrence. CTC costs are included if EPA requires continued monitoring. Perfluorinated compounds will require no further action due to existing LUCs, including no-residential and no-groundwater use restrictions.

5. Enclosures:

- 1. Estimator Experience Form
- 2. Final Record of Decision, Fire Training and Demonstration Pad (SEAD 25) and the Fire Training Pit and Area (September 2004)
- 3. Estimate Summary Table
- 4. Contract W912DY-08-D-0003 Task Order 15 Date 2012 Jun 26
- 5. RACER Cost To Owner Default description

6. RACER Assumptions:

Site Closeout Documentation (LTM):

- 1. Site Closeout is low complexity
- 2. Kick-off, review and regulatory meetings included
- 3. Work Plans and reports to include all RACER default values
- 4. Two boxes of documents will be stored for 30 years

Well Abandonment (LTM):

- 1. Number of wells: 30
- 2. Depth of wells: 15 feet
- 3. Diameter of wells: 2 inches
- 4. Formation type: Unconsolidated
- 5. Method: overdrill/removal

Cost to Owner: The US Army Corps of Engineers the contracting and oversight Agency for the remaining Ground water sampling. Their cost is assumed to be the 11% default value that is used in RACER to be consistent with the contract close out rates. This default rate is consistent with Seneca Army Depot oversight costs and the Corps has not required additional costs to cover contract closeouts for Seneca Army Depot task orders.

Environmental Liabilities for site SEAD-025, Fire Training Area at Seneca Army Depot

7. Cost Summary **SEAD-025**

Ground Water sampling FY16 (Encl 4) CLIN 0004a \$26,049.47 (Rounded to \$26,050)

\$26,050

Cost to Owner for Contract management $$26,050 \times .11 = $2,865.50$ (Rounded to \$2,866)

\$2,866

Well Abandonment/Site Closeout (2015 RACER) $112,585 \times 112,585 \times 114,352.58$ (Rounded to \$114,353)

\$114,353

Total Site Cost

\$143,269 (\$143K)

8. Material Change: The CTC for FY15 was \$184K the CTC for FY16 is \$143K. The calculated percentage change was 23%. This is a Material Change. FY17 Sampling required for 10 year effort and not previously programmed. There are decreased sampling and analysis costs based upon new contract amount and scope.

Material Change = absolute value of (indexed prior year CTC - current CTC current obligations)/indexed prior year CTC

MC = ((\$184K * 1.0157) - \$143K - 0) / (\$184K * 1.0157) = 23%

Prepared by: Randall Battaglia

Cost Estimator

BATTAGLIA.RANDAL DIGITALITY SIGNED BY BATTAGLIA.RANDALLW.1228816724 L.W.1228816724

Digitally signed by DN: c=US, o=U.S. Government, ou=DoD, ou=PKI, ou=USA, cn=BATTAGLIA.RANDALL.W.1228816724 Date: 2016.05.05 13:52:27 -04'00'

Signature

Date

Reviewed by: Bill Millar Cost Estimate Reviewer MILLAR.WILLIAM.WIN Digitally signed by MILLAR.WILLIAM.WINSTON.SR.1391460309 DN.CeU.S. out.S. Government, our-DoD. Our-PkI, our-CONTRACTOR. on-PkI, our

Signature

Date

05 May 2016

ESTIMATOR EXPERIENCE

and the state of t	Alexandra (Alexandra) - Literatulum ingles para para para bili para para para para para para para par
ESTIMATOR NAME: Randall Battaglia	POSITION: Project Manager
LOCATION: USACE NY Seneca Proj. Ofc	YEARS OF EXPERIENCE: 31
EMAIL: Randy.W.Battaglia@usace.army.mil	PHONE NUMBER: 607-869-153

DESCRIPTION: (Insert description of experience here, such as educational background, traini B.S. Chemical Engineering, 1982; Certified Project Manager, 2007;

Work Experience: Project Manager, USACE, 1995-Present: Prepare and manage Life-Cycle Coproject management business process & establishing a project management plan with a project

interdisciplinary, regional or other agencies teams to execute & ensure all projects meet customer, budgetary, successional schedule requirements during the life cycle of the project, under changing management parameters. Represents the Army as an Alternate for the installation manager in all customer/sponsor, congressional, public contacts, including public meetings, organizations, property transfers with the state, EPA, county, & independent organizations interested in the projects.

<u>Environmental Coordinator</u>, Seneca Army Depot, 1985-1995; performed all program management, cost estimation, budget regulatory, permitting, and other management for the environmental program at the active Seneca Army Depot for hazardous waste, TSDF, air, wetlands, CERCLA, RCRA, engineering projects, etc.

<u>Process Engineer</u>, IEC Electronics, 1983-1985 Process engineering for production, product development, personnel, process & quality control

<u>Relevant Continuing Education</u>: Network Systems Analysis; Project Management for Military Projects & HTRW projects; Environmental Auditing; Economic Assessment; Various Project Management & environmental remediation courses; Cost Estimating

SITE TYPE REVIEWED: Insert site number(s) at which experience gained for each site type to the maximum extent possible.

SITE TYPE	SITE NUMBER	SITE TYPE	SITE NUMBER
Above Ground Storage Tank	SEAD 5,59,71	Open Burn	SEAD 23, 24, 006-R-01, 003-R-01, 007-R-01
Burn Area	SEAD 24,45,25,26	Plating Shop	
Chemical Disposal	SEAD 13,72,4	POL (Petroleum/Lubricant Lines	SEAD 9
Contaminated Buildings	SEAD 12, 16,17, 3	Radioactive Waste Area	SEAD 012,48,72, 63, NRC License closeout
Contaminated Fill	SEAD 3, 9,4	Sewage Treatment Plant	SEAD 20,21,22
Contaminated Groundwater	SEAD 025,006, 001-R-01, 023, 064B&D, 041	Small Arms Range	SEAD 57, 46, 120B,122A,122B
Contaminated Sediments	SEAD 4, 3,	Soil Contamination After Tank Removal	SEAD 59,
Contaminated Soil Piles	SEAD 5	Spill Site Area	SEAD 122
Dip Tank		Storage Area	SEAD 123
Disposal Pit/Dry Well		Surface Disposal Area	SEAD 023, 006-R-01, 024
Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area	SEAD 23, 24, 006-R-01, 003-R-01, 007-R-01	Training and Maneuver Area	
Fire/Crash Training Area	SEAD 025,026	Underground Storage Tank	SEAD 27
Firing Range	SEAD 122	Underground Tank Farm	
Incinerator	SEAD 006, 001-R-01,019, 018	Unexploded Munitions/Ordnance	SEAD 006-R-01, 001-R- 01,003-R-01, 007-R-01
Industrial Discharge		Wash rack	
Landfill	SEAD 006, 064 A,B&D, 011,	Waste Lines	
Maintenance Yard	SEAD 122	Waste Treatment Plant	
Oil Water Separator	SEAD 27,		

SCANNED Son Undoashing



US Army Corps of Engineers_®





has successfully completed

Environmental Liability (EL)/Cost to Complete (CTC) Training

Nov 09, 2015 - Web/Audio Teleconference

Sandi Zebrowski, F.E.

Director, USACE Environmental and Munitions Center of Expertise,

FUDS Training Services fudstraining@usace.army.mil



Seneca Army Depot Activity Romulus, NY



PARSONS

Seneca Army Depot Activity

FINAL

RECORD OF DECISION (ROD)
THE FIRE TRAINING AND DEMONSTRATION
PAD (SEAD 25) AND THE FIRE TRAINING PIT
AND AREA (SEAD 26)

SENECA ARMY DEPOT ACTIVITY

EPA Site ID# NY0213820830 NY Site ID# 8-50-006 CONTRACT NO. DACA87-95-D-0031 DELIVERY ORDER NO. 0029

September 2004

1.0 DECLARATION OF THE RECORD OF DECISION

Site Name and Location

SITE

The Fire Training and Demonstration Pad (SEAD-25) and the Fire Training Pit and Area (SEAD-26)

Seneca Army Depot Activity
CERCLIS ID# NY0213820830
Romulus, Seneca County, New York

Statement of Basis and Purpose

This decision document presents the U.S. Army's and EPA's selected remedy for soil and groundwater at SEAD-25 and SEAD-26, located at the Seneca Army Depot Activity (SEDA) near Romulus, New York. The decision was developed in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) as amended, 42 U.S.C. §9601 et seq. and, to the extent practicable, the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR Part 300. The Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Environmental Coordinator; the Director of the National Capital Region Field Office, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region II have been delegated the authority to approve this Record of Decision (ROD); New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) has concurred with the selected remedial action.

This ROD is based on the Administrative Record that has been developed in accordance with Section 113(k) of CERCLA. The Administrative Record is available for public review at the Seneca Army Depot Activity, Building 123, Romulus, NY. The Administrative Record Index identifies each of the items considered during the selection of the remedial action. This index is included in Appendix A.

The State of New York, through the NYSDEC and the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH), has concurred with the Selected Remedy. The NYSDEC Declaration of Concurrence is provided in Appendix B of this ROD.

Site Assessment

The response action selected in this ROD is necessary to protect the public welfare and the environment from actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances into the environment or from actual or threatened releases of pollutants or contaminants from this site that may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health or welfare.

July 2004

SEAD-25

While the goal of the remedial action is to have no residual contamination in soils above TAGM levels, remedial action success will be achieved when soils have been remediated to the level that eliminates an unacceptable risk to human health. Based on the evaluation of the various options, the U.S. Army recommends Alternative RA25-4R (Source Removal, Off-site Disposal, Long-Term Monitoring of Plume, and Sediment Removal) (Figures 6-1 and 6-2). The elements that compose the remedy include:

- Excavate soil at the source in an area approximately 60 feet by 100 feet to a depth of 6 feet (approximately 1,350 CY), as depicted in Figure 6-2:
- Excavate a volume of sediment approximately 780 feet long, 3 feet wide and 2 feet deep (approximately 175 CY) from the northwest ditch, as depicted in Figure 6-2;
- Dispose of excavated soils in an appropriate off-site facility;
- Dewater the excavation pit;
- Treat groundwater that is recovered during excavation and during dewatering of excavation pit / I with an on-site air stripper;
- Replace excavated soil with clean backfill and establish a ground cover to avoid soil crossion;
- Conduct groundwater monitoring of the plume until NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards are achieved (approximately 10 years);
- Establish and maintain land use controls to prevent access to or use of groundwater until cleanup standards are met;
- Complete a review of the selected remedy every five-years (at minimum), in accordance with Section 121(c) of the CERCLA;
- Prepare a contingency plan that may include additional monitoring and air sparging of the plume, as necessary; and
- Once groundwater cleanup standards are achieved, the groundwater use restriction may be eliminated.

The frequency of long-term monitoring will be detailed in the RD plan. The cleanup standards for groundwater at the site are NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards, presented in Table 1-1B. Until the contaminant levels in the groundwater meet the cleanup standards, a land use control (or institutional control) in the form of a groundwater use restriction will be a part of the remedy, as specified in the discussion of the remedy for SEAD-25.

A summary of the SEAD-25 and SEAD-26 Land Use Controls is provided below.

The present worth cost of this alternative is \$922,200. The capital cost and the O&M cost of RA25-4R are \$701,000 and \$221,200, respectively.

. At thenever

114 .41

Page 11-1

			ORD	ER FO	R SUPPL	IES OR	SERVIC	ES			F	AGE 1 OF 58
I. CONTRACTOR AGREEMENT W912DY-09-	NO.	RDER	2. DELIV	ERY ORD	ER/ CALL NO.	3. DATE OF O. (YYYYUM VI 2016 Mar 3	00,	4. REQ. 3	PURCH REQUES	TNO.	5. P i	RIORITY
6. ISSUED BY CODE W912DY 7. ADMINISTERED BY (if other than 6) US ARMY ENGINEERING & SUPPORT CENTER CEHNC-CT 4820 UNIVERSITY SQUARE HUNTSVILLE AL 35816-1822 7. ADMINISTERED BY (if other than 6) DIRECTORATE OF CONTRACTING - HNC ATTN: MICHELLE BLACKMON 256-895-2531 HUNTSVILLE AL 35816									DELIVERY FOB X DESTINATION OTHER dee Schedule if other)			
9. CONTRACTOR CODE 18VK6 FACILITY 19. DELIVER TO FOB POINT BY (Date) PARSONS GOVERNMENT SERVICES INC. NAME MICHELLE SMITH AND 100 W WALNUT ST ADDRESS PASADENA CA 91124-0001 13. MAIL INVOICES TO THE ADD										MARK IF BUSINESS IS SMALL SMALL DISAD VANTAGED WOMEN-OWNED		
									Item 15			
SEE SCHEDUL	EE SCHEDULE US ARMY ENG & SUP CENTER - FINANCE OFFIC EE SCHEDULE US ARMY CORPS OF ENGRS FINANCE CTR							1D	MARK ALL PAC KAGES AND PAPERS WITH IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS IN BLOCKS 1 AND 2.			
16. DEL		Х	This delivery order cal	is issued o	n another Govern	nment agenc, or	in accordance	with and s	ubject to terms and	d conditions	s of above numb	bered contract.
0.5	CHASE		Reference your quote d		spified herein. RE	F:						
	is marke	RACT	ACCEPTANCE. TO ROBER AS IT MAND CONDITION OR Ophier must sign Ac PROPRIATION D	S SET FO	SIGNATUR	GREES TO	SERFORM T	THE SAM	SUBJECT TO ME. YPED NAME	FOR H	en, VF	DAYE SIGNED (FYYYMMAGO)
18. ITEM NO.			19. SCHEDULE	OF SUPP	LIES/ SERVIC	CES	ORE	NTITY ERED: EPTED	21. UNIT	22. UNIT	PRICE	23. AMOUNT
	indicate by	X. II	iment ix some as lifferent, enter actual	TEL: EMAIL:MU	DULE ED STATES OF A ULLADY.RICHA		40282 ON CHU	S, a=U S. Governa LADY RICHARD J. 16 03:30 15:39:53			25. TOTAL 26.	
7a. QUANTIT	Y IN CO	LUM	CONT	RACT EX	ND CONFORM	OTED		CTING ,	ORDERING OFFI		DIFFERENCE	
. SIGNATURE	E OF AU	ГНО.	RIZED GOVERNM	ENT RE	RESENTATI	IVE	c. DATE	MDD)	GO VERNMEN			OF AUTHORIZED VE
. MAILING A	DDRESS	OF A	UTHORIZED GO	VERNME	NT REPRESE	ENTATIVE	28 SHIP N	0.	29. DO VOUC	HER NO.	30. INITIALS	
TELEPHON	ENUMB	ER	g. E-MAIL ADD	RESS			PAR	TIAL	32. PAID BY		33. AMOUT	NT VERIFIED FOR
			correct and pro			ER	: -	ENT PLETE TIAL			34. CHECK	NUMBER
		15.0	AR ARTHUR		DO D. T. S.	COLLEGE	FINA		41 6:0 : 000	DATE NO		
7 RECEIVED	AI	138.	RECEIVED BY		39. DATE RI		40.TOTA1		41. S/R ACCO	DNI NO.	42,58,00	CCHEK NO.

Section A - Solicitation/Contract Form

AWARD NARRATIVE

Task Order 0023, which contains Firm Fixed-Price (FFP) tasks, is being issued to Parsons Government Services, Inc for Remedial Action at Seneca Army Depot Activity, Romulus, NY, EPA Site ID# NY0213820830, NY Site ID# 8-50-006 in accordance with Performance Work Statement Revision 2, dated March 24, 2016.

The period of performance is date of award through March 30, 2018.

US Department of Labor Wage Determination Number 15-2381, Revision 1, dated March 1, 2016 shall be used with project task order.

The Terms and Conditions of the basic contract, W912DY-09-D-0062 takes precedence in the case of any ambiguity or conflict.

This task order is awarded in the amount of \$1,211,190.20 of which \$637,951.83 is being funded at the time of award.

Task	Description	Type	Amount	Total
1	UFP-QAPP and QASP	FFP	7,063.20	7,063.20
2	GIS	FFP	3,908.96	3,908.96
2a	Optional, Additional GIS per FY	FFP	1,525.90	
3	Long Term Monitoring of The OB Grounds	FFP		
3a	(FY17) First Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	21,453.84	21,453.84
3b	Optional, (FY18) Second Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	21,457.76	
3c	Optional, (FY19) Third Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	21,461.68	
3d	Optional, (FY20) Fourth Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	21,465.59	
3e	Optional, (FY21) Fifth Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	21,469.51	
4	Long Term Monitoring of the Fire Training and Demonstration Pad Area	FFP		
4a	(FY17) First Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	26,049.47	26,049.47
4b	Optional, (FY18) Second Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	26,080.17	
4c	Optional, (FY19) Third Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	26,110.87	
4d	Optional, (FY20) Fourth Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	26,141.57	
4e	Optional, (FY21) Fifth Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	26,172.27	
5	Long Term Monitoring of the Ash Landfill Operable Unit	FFP		
5a	(FY17) First Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	51,594.03	51,594.03
5b	Optional, (FY18) Second Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	51,686.28	
5c	Optional, (FY19) Third Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	51,778.54	
5d	Optional, (FY20) Fourth Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	51,870.79	
5e	Optional, (FY21) Fifth Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	51,963.04	
6	Ash Landfill Operable Unit Biowall Recharge	FFP	440,038.65	440,038.65
7	Long Term Monitoring of the Deactivation Furnaces Operable Unit	FFP		
7a	(FY17) First Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	23,146.49	23,146.49
7b	Optional, (FY18) Second Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	23,178.47	
7c	Optional, (FY19) Third Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	23,210.46	
7d	Optional, (FY20) Fourth Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	23,242.44	
7e	Optional, (FY21) Fifth Annual Groundwater Monitoring	FFP	23,274.43	
8	Monitoring of LUCs at Various Sites	FFP		
8a	(FY17) First Annual Monitoring Event	FFP	17,934.42	17,934.42

8b	Optional, (FY18) Second Annual Monitoring Event	FFP	17,934.42	
8c	Optional, (FY19) Third Annual Monitoring Event	FFP	17,934.42	
8d	Optional, (FY20) Fourth Annual Monitoring Event	FFP	17,934.42	
9	Monitoring of LUCs at Various Munition Sites	FFP		
9a	(FY17) First Annual Monitoring Event	FFP	5,895.00	5,895.00
9b	Optional, (FY18) Second Annual Monitoring Event	FFP	5,895.28	
9c	Optional, (FY19) Third Annual Monitoring Event	FFP	5,895.28	
9d	Optional, (FY20) Fourth Annual Monitoring Event	FFP	5,895.28	
10	Five-year Review	FFP	27,488.41	27,488.41
11	Community Relations Support	FFP	13,379.36	13,379.36
11a	Optional, Additional Meetings	FUP	8,646.02	
12	Optional, Administrative Record	FFP	1,013.48	
	Totals		\$1,211,190.20	\$637,951.83

- Restore all areas to their *original* condition; all access/excavation/detonation holes shall be backfilled.
- Hazardous Waste (HW) / Investigative-Derived Waste (IDW) Disposal: The Contractor shall collect, secure, store, and arrange for disposal of hazardous waste, and decontamination wastes, etc. generated as a result of field activities. The HW/IDW containers shall be staged, secured, labeled, sampled and analyzed (if required) IAW the approved work plan. The Contractor shall recommend appropriate disposal actions for all waste items. The Contractor shall perform the HW disposal in a timely manner.
- The contractor shall propose on the sampling rationale, and methods that will be utilized to ensure that data generated are of an acceptable quality for its intended use. The contractor shall also propose on the quantity, quality and the methods used to verify adherence to the PARCCS parameters for sample collection, handling, laboratory analysis, verification and validation. The contractor shall propose processes that will be utilized to address the corrective actions when established criteria are not being met. Any deviations from the accepted SAP shall be documented in the Daily Quality Control Reports (DQCR) and conveyed to USAESCH personnel immediately.
- Inspection of vegetative cap, drainage swales and Reeder Creek should assess if there is evidence that soil/sediment/or debris from the OB Grounds is migrating to Reeder Creek.
- Assess the physical condition of each water well.
- The Contractor shall sample and analyze the water quality at all wells
- Recommendation of any changes (e.g. changing frequency of data collection for the OB Grounds LTM Plan, development of a sediment monitoring program, etc.) that are proposed for implementation for the OB Grounds LTM Plan.
- **Project Management:** The contractor shall manage the delivery order in accordance with the basic contract statement of work. All project management associated with the delivery order, with the exception of the direct technical oversight of the work described in the preceding tasks, shall be accounted for in this task.
- 3.4 Task 4, (CLIN 0004) DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES FOR LONG TERM MONITORING OF THE FIRE TRAINING AND DEMONSTRATION PAD AREA: This is a firm fixed price task.

 Objective: Conduct a P.A. in accordance with the accepted LIEP OAPP, SAP, Senera I TM Plan and all applications.

Objective: Conduct a RA in accordance with the accepted UFP-QAPP, SAP, Seneca LTM Plan and all applicable standards such that the objective of this PWS is met. The RA shall include annual ground water monitoring to include water level and water quality monitoring and preparation of annual report summarizing the results of each annual event.

- 3.4.1 Task 4a, CLIN 0004a (FY17)) FIRST ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING EVENT. Refer to historical project documentation of site location, historical information, and boundaries.
- 3.4.2 Task 4b, (Optional) (CLIN 0004b (FY18)) SECOND ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING EVENT. Refer to historical project documentation of site location, historical information, and boundaries.
- 3.4.3 Task 4c, (Optional) (CLIN 0004c, (FY19)) THIRD ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING EVENT. Refer to historical project documentation of site location, historical information, and boundaries.
- 3.4.4 Task 4d, (Optional) (CLIN 0004d, (FY20)) FOURTH ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING EVENT. Refer to historical project documentation of site location, historical information, and boundaries.
- 3.4.5 Task 4e, (Optional) (CLIN 0004e, (FY21)) FIFTH ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING EVENT. Refer to historical project documentation of site location, historical information, and boundaries.
- 3.4.6 All subtasks listed above shall meet the following:

- 3.4.7 Performance Standard: Field work, quality, and analysis of said data shall meet the following standards: QC deliverables and QA inspections/review demonstrate that the work was performed in accordance with the UFP-QAPP, SAP, Seneca LTM Plan, applicable laws, regulations, and guidance documents.
- 3.4.8 AC: Conduct the RA in accordance with the accepted/approved UFP-QAPP, and Seneca LTM Plan. QC data submitted meets requirements described in the most recent geophysics and chemistry DIDs.
- No more than 3-4 CARs/948s for non-critical violations and/or 1 CAR/948 for critical violation. No unresolved corrective action requests.
- All final data and QC tests/documentation submitted. Government QA acceptance of QC tests/documentation gained.
- No Class "A" Safety accidents, contractor at fault; No Class "B", contractor at Fault, no more than 1 non-explosive Class "C" accident; and <2 non-explosive related Class "D" accidents, IAW AR 385-40.
- Major safety violations, no more than 1 non-explosive related safety violation.
- Minor safety violations, no more than 2 safety violations.
- Zero letters of reprimand, grievances, or formal complaints
- 3.4.9 Measurement / Monitoring: Periodic inspection/review of field work. Verify compliance with accepted UFP-QAPP and SAP and Seneca LTM Plan. Quality control tests/documentation submitted per the QASP for government review.
- 3.4.10 Task specific Incentives/Disincentives: Satisfactory or greater CPARS rating/poor CPARS rating and/or reperformance of work at contractor's expense.
- 3.4.11 Specific Task Requirements:
- Restore all areas to their original condition; all access/excavation/detonation holes shall be backfilled.
- Hazardous Waste (HW) / Investigative-Derived Waste (IDW) Disposal: The Contractor shall collect, secure, store, and arrange for disposal of hazardous waste, and decontamination wastes, etc. generated as a result of field activities. The HW/IDW containers shall be staged, secured, labeled, sampled and analyzed (if required) IAW the approved work plan. The Contractor shall recommend appropriate disposal actions for all waste items. The Contractor shall perform the HW disposal in a timely manner.
- The contractor shall propose on the sampling rationale, and methods that will be utilized to ensure that data generated are of an acceptable quality for its intended use. The contractor shall also propose on the quantity, quality and the methods used to verify adherence to the PARCCS parameters for sample collection, handling, laboratory analysis, verification and validation. The contractor shall propose processes that will be utilized to address the corrective actions when established criteria are not being met. Any deviations from the accepted SAP shall be documented in the Daily Quality Control Reports (DQCR) and conveyed to USAESCH personnel immediately.
- Assess the physical condition of each water well.
- The Contractor shall sample and analyze the water quality at all wells
- Prepare annual report that summarizes data and analyses data for trends.
- Recommendation of any changes (e.g. changing frequency of data collection for the LTM Plan, groundwater well repairs, etc.) that are proposed for implementation for the LTM Plan.
- **Project Management:** The contractor shall manage the delivery order in accordance with the basic contract statement of work. All project management associated with the delivery order, with the exception of the direct technical oversight of the work described in the preceding tasks, shall be accounted for in this task.

Owner Cost

In RACER, Owner Cost is the owner's workforce cost to initiate, contract, oversee, direct, implement and closeout the project. Owner costs may include the following categories or items:

- Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead (SIOH);
- · Construction management and "Owner's Representative" services;
- · Laboratory quality assurance;
- · Operations and maintenance manual; and

DeFAULT COST

• Other costs (e.g. technical, real estate, administrative, contracting, accounting, etc.).

The system default percentage for Owner Cost is 11 %. The valid range for the Owner Cost markup factor is 0% to 20%.

mk:@MSITStore:C:\PROGRA~1\AECOM\RACER1~1.2\RACER11.chm::/The%20RAC... 3/30/2015

ENCL 5

Estimate Summary Table Site # SEAD-025

Site Number	Phase	CTC Subtotal (\$K)	Estimate Type	Assumption	Basis of Assumption	Basis of Assumption Document Name	Location of Basis of Assumption Document
	LTM	26	Contract Price			Contract #: W912DY-09-D- 0062, D.O. 0023 dated 30 June 2016	HNC 1600 University Square Huntsville Al
				RACER	RACER	RACER 11.2	USACE NY
SEAD 025	LTM	114	IGE	Escalation factor 2015	\$112,585 x 1.0157 = \$114,352 (\$114K)	USAEC ACSIM FY16 Data Call Memo, 29 March 2016	5786 State Route 96 Romulus, NY 14541
	LTM	3	IGE	COE Oversight of Contract	RACER Cost to Owner 11% of Contract cost	RACER 11.2	USACE NY 5786 State Route 96 Romulus, NY 14541
Total cost to co	mplete	143					
Does the CTC estimate include work through site closure? (Yes/No)		yes					

SEAD 25

Phase	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Out Years
LIM		26							
LIGHT CLOSE Sicerofic				117					
Siever:		3							
	-			-					
		73		1:-					143